UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,770	12/26/2001	Takashi Oshiba	089367-0118	5864
	7590 01/09/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500		VAN BRAMER, JOHN W		
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/025,770	OSHIBA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JOHN VAN BRAMER	3622	

	JOHN VAN BRAMER	3622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a considered and amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a considered amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a considered and a considered amendment and a cons	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); ter form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying the	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been expected but		•	
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (CONTRIBUTION ANDWAR	ue pecause.
13. Other:	1 10/30/00) rapel 110(s)		
/Eric W. Stamber/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3622			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicants arguments have been reviewed but are not persuasive. The applicant argues that Roth does not disclose the accepting of applications for distribution before the advertisement is put up for auction. However, Roth discloses on Page 8, lines 5-10 and Page 8, line 26 through Page 9, line 11 that applications for distribution are accepted before the auction process. The applicant argues that the examiner assertion that the wherein clause "wherein in a case" is an option feature is incorrect. However, the examiner reminds the applicant that the advertisement recetion unit receives data from "a sponsor". Thus "the sponsor" claimed in the distribution accepting unit is a single sponsor. There is no indication in the in the claims that more than one sponsor is required. Thus the "wherein in a case" is not required to occur and as such is optional. Finally, the applicant argues Roth does not disclose registering the advertisement. The applicants specification does not define the term "registered". As such the examiner is interpreting the term consistent with its common meaning of being added to a list. As such the placing of the advertisements in a database is registering the advertisement. The applicants presumption that the term "Boyd" in the repsonse to arguments of the Office action dated 6/ 26/ 2008 should be "Roth" is correct. The cited sections in the repsonse all refer to the Roth reference.